## 1648

## H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>12</sub>Si<sub>8</sub>

V = 1075.2 (2) Å <sup>3</sup>	$T = 29 \pm 0.5 \text{ K}$
Z = 3	Rhombic
$D_r = 1.968 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	$1.68 \times 1.53 \times 1.23$ mm
~ U	Colourless

Data collection

```
364 observed reflections
Huber four-circle diffrac-
                                           [I > 3\sigma(I)]
   tometer
                                        R_{\rm int} = 0.0552
\omega/2\theta scans
                                        \theta_{\rm max} = 53.38^{\circ}
Absorption correction:
                                        h = -10 \rightarrow 9
   analytical
                                        k = -10 \rightarrow 5
   T_{\min} = 0.862, T_{\max} =
                                        l = -19 \rightarrow 19
   0.903
                                        Intensity variation of
790 measured reflections
                                           standard reflections: <\pm 1
569 independent reflections
```

### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$
R(F) = 0.0310	Extinction correction:
$wR(F^2) = 0.0526$	SHELXL92 (Sheldrick,
S = 1.122	1992)
364 reflections	Extinction coefficient:
44 parameters	1.31 (6)
All H-atom parameters	Nuclear coherent scattering
refined	lengths from Koester,
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0204P)^2]$	Rauch, Herkens
+ 0.01P]	& Schröder (1981)
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	

Data collection was performed at the Studsvik Neutron Research Laboratory in Studsvik, Sweden, using the R2 reactor and a Huber four-circle diffractometer, equipped with a Cryogenics closed-circuit He<sub>2</sub>-refrigerator cooling device. Reflections were collected with 40 steps, at an  $\omega$ -step size of 0.1°, up to  $\sin\theta/\lambda = 0.5290 \text{ Å}^{-1}$  and with 50 steps above that resolution. The effective absorption coefficient,  $\mu$ = 0.088 mm<sup>-1</sup>, was determined experimentally. *SHELXL92* (Sheldrick, 1992) was used to refine the structure. Neutron scattering lengths, b (10<sup>-12</sup> cm), for the structure-factor calculation were H = -0.3741, Si = 0.4149 and O = 0.5805. Molecular graphics were prepared using *PEANUT* (Hummel, Hauser & Bürgi, 1990).

The author is grateful to Professor G. Calzaferri at the University of Berne, Switzerland, for supplying the crystals and to Mr Håkan Rundlöf at the Studsvik Neutron Research Laboratory for technical assistance during the experiment. This project is financially supported by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council.

A list of structure factors has been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: CR1105). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Agaskar, P. A. (1991). Inorg. Chem. 30, 2702-2708.
- Auf der Heyde, T. P. E., Bürgi, H.-B., Bürgy, H. & Törnroos, K. W. (1991). Chimia, 45, 38-40.

© 1994 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Hummel, W., Hauser, J. & Bürgi, H.-B. (1990). J. Mol. Graph. 8, 214-220.

- Koester, L., Rauch, H., Herkens, M. & Schröder, K. (1981). KFA-Report, Jül-1755.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1992). SHELXL92. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Törnroos, K. W., Schwarzenbach, D., Delley, B. & Larsen, F. K. (1994). Acta Cryst. In preparation.
- Trueblood, K. N. (1985). THMA11. Thermal Motion Analysis. Univ. of California, Los Angeles, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 1648-1650

# Cadmium Iron(III) Iron(II) Diphosphate

ALAOUI ELBELGHITTI AND ALI BOUKHARI

Laboratoire de Chimie du Solide Appliqué, Departement de Chimie, Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohammed V, Avenue Ibn Batouta, Rabat, Morocco

ELIZABETH M. HOLT

Department of Chemistry, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078, USA

(Received 5 August 1993; accepted 17 June 1994)

## Abstract

 $Cd_{2.06}Fe^{III}Fe^{II}_{0.44}(P_2O_7)_2$  crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group  $C222_1$  with  $Fe^{III}$  in a distorted octahedral environment [average Fe—O 2.05 (1) Å] and  $Fe^{II}$  sharing sites with Cd atoms in two sites of sixfold coordination [average Cd/Fe—O 2.20 (1) Å]. Two further Cd atoms show sixfold coordination with average Cd—O 2.31 (1) Å.

### Comment

Mixed-valence phosphates such as  $Fe_7(PO_4)_6$  and  $Cr_7(PO_4)_6$  have been reported in the literature (Gorbunov *et al.*, 1980; Glaum, 1993). Planes of bent ( $-M^{III}-M^{III}-M^{II}-$ ) chains are found in the solid-state structures.

A number of iron diphosphates are known in the solid state. The stoichiometries of the compounds  $Fe_2^{II}P_2O_7$ ,  $Fe_{0.44}^{III}Fe_{0.44}^{II}Cd_{2.06}(P_2O_7)_2$ ,  $Fe_2^{III}Fe_3^{II}(P_2O_7)_4$  and  $Fe_2^{II}Fe^{II}(P_2O_7)_2$ , when expressed as  $Fe_2^{IP}P_2O_7$ ,  $Fe_{0.5}^{III}(Fe^{II}, Cd)_{1.25}P_2O_7$  and  $Fe_{0.5}^{III}Fe_{0.5}^{III}P_2O_7$ , respectively, may be seen to form a series of increasing  $Fe_1^{III}/Fe_1^{II}$  ratio. The solid-state structures of the series show a progression from planes of metal atoms with hexagonal disposition when the  $Fe_1^{II}$  content is high ( $Fe_1^{III}/Fe_1^{II}$  ratio low) to isolated and linear metal trimers when the  $Fe_1^{III}$  content is higher. The single-crystal structure of  $Fe_4^{III}(P_2O_7)_3$  is unreported.

Diamond, R. (1988). Acta Cryst. A44, 211-216.

 $Fe_2^{II}P_2O_7$  shows a coplanar array of edge-sharing metal hexagons in which adjacent pairs of pseudooctahedral Fe atoms are bridged by two O atoms (Stefanidis & Nord, 1982; Hoggins, Swinnea & Steinfink, 1983) with Fe<sup>II</sup>—Fe<sup>II</sup> separations of 3.236 (4)– 3.590 (4) Å.

 $Fe^{III}Fe^{II}_{0.44}Cd_{2.06}(P_2O_7)_2$  is isostructural with  $Fe^{II}_2$  $Fe^{II}_5(P_2O_7)_4$  [general formula  $Fe^{III}_{0.5}(Fe^{II},Cd)_{1.25}P_2O_7$ ]. Both display corrugated layers of metal atoms separated by  $P_2O_7$  layers (Fig. 1). Closer inspection shows that the modulated layers of metal atoms consist of chains of coplanar linked metallic hexagons joined by singleatom metallic bent bridges (Fig. 2*a*). Both structures show octahedral  $Fe^{III}$  atoms (Fe3), positioned in the



Fig. 1. Projection view of  $Cd_{2.06}Fe^{III}Fe^{II}_{0.44}(P_2O_7)_2$ .



Fig. 2. (a) View of the metallic plane of  $Cd_{2.06}Fe^{III}Fe^{II}_{0.44}(P_2O_7)_2$ , with metal-metal separations of less than 3.6 Å indicated by lines, and (b) view (30% probability displacement ellipsoids) of a section of the metallic plane in (a) showing O atoms bridging adjacent metal atoms.

hexagonal rings with only two near metallic neighbors. In Fe<sup>III</sup>Fe<sup>IJ</sup><sub>2</sub>(P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, all sites other than the Fe<sup>III</sup> positions are occupied by Fe<sup>II</sup> atoms. In Fe<sup>III</sup>Fe<sup>IJ</sup><sub>0.44</sub>Cd<sub>2.06</sub>(P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, the remaining alternate position is a mixed Cd/Fe<sup>II</sup> site (Cd/Fe4) as is that of the bridging atom (Cd/Fe5). Three other ring positions are occupied by Cd atoms alone. There are no close Fe—Fe distances [average Fe<sup>III</sup>— Cd 3.45 (1), average Cd/Fe<sup>II</sup>—Cd 3.52 (1) Å]. Adjacent metal atoms are bridged by two O atoms (Fig. 2b).

Fe<sub>3</sub>(P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Fe<sup>III</sup>Fe<sup>II</sup><sub>0.5</sub>P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>) crystallizes with linear trimers of Fe<sup>3+</sup>—Fe<sup>2+</sup>—Fe<sup>3+</sup> [Fe—Fe distances 2.924 (1) Å]. Fe<sup>3+</sup> atoms are octahedrally coordinated whereas the central Fe<sup>2+</sup> position shows trigonal prismatic geometry. Adjacent members of the trimer are bridged by three O atoms with three P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> groups providing one bridging O atom to each of the metallic pairs. The solid shows antiferromagnetic behavior (Ijjaali, Venturini, Gerardin, Malaman & Gleitzer, 1991; Ijjaali, Venturini, Malaman & Gleitzer, 1990).

## **Experimental**

The diphosphates  $Cd_2P_2O_7$  and  $Fe_2P_2O_7$  were synthesized by mixing, in stoichiometric fashion,  $(NH_4)_2HPO_3$  and  $CdCO_3$  or  $Fe(NO_3)_2.6H_2O$  according to

$$2CdCO_3 + 2(NH_4)_2HPO_4 \rightarrow Cd_2P_2O_7 + 4NH_3\uparrow + 2CO_2\uparrow + 3H_2O_1\uparrow$$

or

$$2Fe(NO_3)_2.6H_2O + 2(NH_4)_2HPO_4 \rightarrow Fe_2P_2O_7 + 4NH_3\uparrow + 4NO_2\uparrow + 15H_2O\uparrow.$$

After grinding, the mixture was treated at 393 K to avoid energetic decomposition of the ammoniacal phosphate. This mixture was then heated to 573, 773 and 1173 K. The last heating was followed by a return to room temperature in air. Materials of compositions corresponding to  $Cd_{2-x}Fe_xP_2O_7$ were prepared according to

$$(1 - x/2)Cd_2P_2O_7 + (x/2)Fe_2P_2O_7 \rightarrow Cd_{2-x}Fe_xP_2O_7.$$

Mixtures of appropriate stoichiometries were ground together, heated to 873 K, then to 1173 K for 72 h periods with intermediate grinding. Crystals of  $Cd_{2.06}Fe^{III}Fe^{II}_{0.44}(P_2O_7)_2$ were obtained by fusion in the presence of an excess of  $P_2O_5$ and air. The mixture was heated to the fusion point (1373 K) in an open platinum crucible. Slow cooling (4 K h<sup>-1</sup> to 1173 K, then 10 K h<sup>-1</sup> to 473 K) followed by cessation of heating of the furnace allowed the isolation of dark brown crystals.

Crystal data

$Cd_{2.06}Fe^{III}Fe^{II}_{0.44}(P_2O_7)_2$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 659.9$	$\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Orthorhombic	Cell parameters from 25
C222 <sub>1</sub>	reflections
a = 8.702 (1)  Å	$\theta = 10.0 - 17.0^{\circ}$
b = 9.889 (1)  Å	$\mu = 6.902 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 23.968 (3)  Å	T = 298  K
V = 2062.5 (3) Å <sup>3</sup>	Chunk
Z = 8	$0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$ mm
$D_x = 4.250 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	Dark brown

# $Cd_{2.06}Fe^{III}Fe^{II}_{0.44}(P_2O_7)_2$

Data collection		Fe3—O42 <sup>ii</sup>	2.027 (10)	P3	1.496 (13)
Syntex P4 four-circle	$\theta_{mm} = 22.5^{\circ}$	Fe3-033 <sup>1V</sup>	2.131 (12)	P3—O32	1.502 (13)
diffractomator	$b_{\text{max}} = 22.5$	Fe3-022"	2.098 (12)	P3-033	1.530 (12)
unnacionielei	$n = -1 \rightarrow 12$	Cd/Fe4-043	2.172 (11)	P4041	1.502 (14)
$\theta/2\theta$ scans	$k = -1 \rightarrow 13$	Cd/Fe4-041'^	2.125 (14)	P4	1.533 (11)
Absorption correction:	$l = -1 \rightarrow 33$	Cd/Fe4-021	2.408 (10)	P4043	1.524 (11)
semi-empirical	3 standard reflections	Cd/Fe4043'	2.172 (11)	P4—034	1.603 (11)
$T_{\rm c} = 0.12$ T = 0.27	monitored avery 07	Cd/Fe4—041^	2.125 (14)		
$T_{min} = 0.12, T_{max} = 0.57$	monitored every 97	O43-P4-O41	111.6(7)	P1014P2	134.5 (8)
21/4 measured renections	renections	O43—P4—O34	107.2 (7)	O34—P3—O33	104.0 (6)
2056 independent reflections	intensity variation: none	O41—P4—O34	113.9 (7)	O33—P3—P32	110.8 (7)
1470 observed reflections		O43—P4—O42	112.7 (7)	O33-P3-O31	114.9 (7)
$[I > 4.0\sigma(F)]$		O42P4O41	112.5 (7)	O34—P3—O32	106.4 (7)
		O42—P4—O34	98.1 (6)	O34—P3—O31	105.5 (7)
Definement		O11-P1-O14	102.0 (6)	O32-P3-O31	114.3 (7)
Kejinemeni		O11P1O12	111.7 (7)	O14—P2—O23	106.3 (6)
Refinement on F	$\Delta q_{max} = 1.38 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$	012—P1—014	107.9 (7)	O23—P2—O22	112.7 (7)
R = 0.0489	$\Delta a = -1.67 \text{ s}^{\Lambda}$	O11—P1—O13	113.2 (7)	O23—P2—O21	112.8 (7)
n = 0.0407	$\Delta p_{\rm min} = -1.07 \ \rm C \ A$	O14—P1—O13	107.3 (6)	O14—P2—O22	105.7 (7)
WR = 0.0525	Atomic scattering factors	O12—P1—O13	113.8 (8)	O14—P2—O21	105.4 (6)
S = 0.95	from International Tables	P4—O34—P3	137.8 (8)	O22—P2—O21	113.1 (7)
1470 reflections	for Crystallography (1992,	Symmetry codes: (i)	x, -y, 1-z; (ii	i) $x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - y, 1 - z;$ (i	iii) $-x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z;$
207 parameters	Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and	(iv) $x - \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, z;$	$(v) - x, -y, \frac{1}{2}$	$+z; (vi) - \frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} +$	$+ y, \frac{1}{2} - z;$ (vii)
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F) + 0.0008F^2]$	6.1.1.4)	x-1, y, z; (viii) $-1-$	$-x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z;$ (ix	() $\frac{1}{2} + x, y - \frac{1}{2}, z; (x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$	$+x, \frac{1}{2}-y, 1-z;$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.012$	-	$(xi) \frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z;$	; (xii) 1+ <i>x</i> , <i>y</i> , <i>z</i> ;	$(xiii) \frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} + y, z; (xiv)$	v) $1-x, y, \frac{1}{2}-z.$

Table	1. Fractional	atomic co	ordinates	and	equivalent
	isotropic di	splacement	paramete	rs (Å	<sup>2</sup> )

#### $U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{eq}$
Cd1	0.1911 (2)	0	1/2	0.019(1)
Cd2	-0.3154 (2)	0.1497 (1)	0.3650(1)	0.021 (1)
Fe3	0.0739 (2)	0.1597 (2)	0.3774(1)	0.013 (1)
Cd/Fe4	0.5810(2)	0	1/2	0.021 (1)
Cd/Fe5	1/2	0.2992 (2)	1/4	0.018 (1)
P1	-0.2456 (6)	0.0502 (5)	0.2310(2)	0.017 (1)
P2	-0.3780 (5)	-0.0488 (4)	0.1248 (2)	0.014 (1)
P3	0.3866 (5)	0.3655 (4)	0.3831 (2)	0.016(1)
P4	0.4002 (5)	0.2956 (4)	0.5046 (2)	0.015 (1)
011	-0.3335 (12)	0.1389 (13)	0.2710 (5)	0.022 (3)
012	-0.1878 (18)	-0.0749 (11)	0.2588 (5)	0.033 (4)
013	-0.1243 (14)	0.1256 (12)	0.1986 (5)	0.023 (3)
014	-0.3780 (13)	0.0061 (12)	0.1873 (4)	0.021 (3)
021	-0.5157 (14)	0.0152 (14)	0.0974 (4)	0.022 (3)
022	-0.3908 (14)	-0.2033 (12)	0.1294 (5)	0.020 (3)
023	-0.2260 (12)	-0.0032 (12)	0.0989 (4)	0.016 (3)
031	0.2296 (14)	0.3043 (12)	0.3856 (5)	0.021 (3)
032	0.4952 (16)	0.2947 (12)	0.3441 (5)	0.026 (4)
033	0.3894 (14)	0.5183 (11)	0.3733 (4)	0.018 (3)
034	0.4568 (13)	0.3480 (12)	0.4447 (4)	0.023 (3)
041	0.2512 (15)	0.3568 (14)	0.5235 (5)	0.032 (4)
042	0.5376 (12)	0.3450(13)	0.5390 (4)	0.017 (3)
043	0.3906 (13)	0.1419(11)	0.5015 (5)	0.019 (3)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cd1043 <sup>i</sup>	2.232 (11)	Cd/Fe4—O21 <sup>iii</sup>	2.408 (10)
Cd1042 <sup>ii</sup>	2.239 (11)	Cd/Fe5-O32	2.257 (11)
Cd1—O23 <sup>iii</sup>	2.391 (10)	Cd/Fe5—O11 <sup>iii</sup>	2.206 (12)
Cd1043	2.232 (11)	Cd/Fe5—O12 <sup>xi</sup>	2.065 (14)
Cd1042 <sup>iv</sup>	2.239 (11)	Cd/Fe5—O11 <sup>xii</sup>	2.206 (12)
Cd1—023 <sup>v</sup>	2.391 (10)	Cd/Fe5—O12 <sup>xiii</sup>	2.065 (14)
Cd2041	2.736 (11)	Cd/Fe5—O32 <sup>xiv</sup>	2.257 (11)
Cd2033 <sup>iv</sup>	2.214 (12)	P1011	1.507 (13)
Cd2—022 <sup>v1</sup>	2.314 (12)	P1-012	1.492 (13)
Cd2—O11	2.260 (11)	P1-013	1.509 (12)
Cd2—O32 <sup>v</sup> "	2.241 (13)	P1—014	1.618 (12)
Cd2—O21 <sup>vm</sup>	2.177 (12)	P2-014	1.593 (11)
Fe3—O31	1.979 (12)	P2-021	1.505 (13)
Fe3—O13 <sup></sup>	1.904 (11)	P2-022	1.536 (12)
Fe3023"	2.161 (12)	P2—O23	1.528 (12)

Data were collected using a variable scan rate, in a $\theta$ -2 $\theta$ scan
mode with a scan width of $0.6^{\circ}$ below $K\alpha_1$ and $0.6^{\circ}$ above
$K\alpha_2$ to a maximum $2\theta$ value of $45^\circ$ . Data collection, cell
refinement and data reduction were performed using XSCANS
(Fait, 1991). The structure was solved and refined using
SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Refinement was completed
using full-matrix least-squares methods. Molecular graphics
were produced using CHEM3D (Rubenstein & Rubenstein,
1987).

The authors express their thanks to the National Science Foundation for assistance in the form of a grant to permit collaborative investigation, and to the Moroccan–American Commission for a Fulbright Grant to EMH.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: BR1058). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Fait, J. (1991). XSCANS Users Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Glaum, R. (1993). Z. Kristallogr. 205, 69-83.
- Gorbunov, Y., Maksimov, B., Kabalov, Y., Ivachenko, A. N., Melnikov, O. & Belov, N. (1980). Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 154, 873– 877.
- Hoggins, J. T., Swinnea, J. S. & Steinfink, H. (1983). J. Solid State Chem. 47, 278–283.
- Ijjaali, M., Venturini, G., Gerardin, R., Malaman, B. & Gleitzer, C. (1991). Eur. J. Solid State Inorg. Chem. 28, 983–998.
- Ijjaali, M., Venturini, G., Malaman, B. & Gleitzer, C. (1990). C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 310, 1419–1423.
- Rubenstein, M. & Rubenstein, S. (1987). Chem3D, The Molecular Modeling System. Cambridge Scientific Computing Inc., Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- 28 (12) Stefanidis, T. & Nord, A. G. (1982). Z. Kristallogr. 159, 255-264.